## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

ASSOCIATED RECOVERY, LLC,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-1723 AJT / JFA
JOHN DOES $1-44$ , et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

## BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF NON-PARTY VERISIGN, INC.'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION, AID AND DIRECTION

VeriSign, Inc. ("Verisign") is not a party to this action. However, this action involves competing claims to various domain names within the .com top-level domain. As the operator of the .com registry, Verisign from time to time receives orders from this and other Courts, directing it to change the registrars of domain names. In this case, plaintiff's counsel sent Verisign a copy of an Order dated May 18, 2016 (Dkt. 42) (the "May 18 Order"), which required:

that VeriSign, Inc. change the registrar of record for the defendant domain names above to a registrar specified by plaintiff and require the selected registrar to take the steps necessary to register the defendant domain names in the name of Associated Recovery, LLC.

Plaintiff's counsel directed that the new registrar be Uniregistrar. Verisign complied with the May 18 Order on or about June 7, 2016, and changed the registrar for the specified domain names to Uniregistrar.

It now has come to Verisign's attention that after it complied with the May 18

Order, the Court vacated the May 18 Order as to certain of the domain names. See

Orders dated and July 1, 2016 and August 1, 2016 (Dkt. 59, 69) (the "Vacatur

Orders").

The vacatur of the May 18 Order, after Verisign complied with it, creates an

ambiguity from Verisign's perspective. Because the Vacatur Orders do not expressly

require Verisign to do (or not do) anything, should Verisign do nothing? Or does the

fact that the May 18 Order has partially been vacated require that Verisign reverse

the change of registrars as to the relevant domain names, and change the registrar

from Uniregistrar to the original registrar? Verisign takes no position in the matter;

it merely wants to ensure that it is complying fully with the intentions of this Court.

Accordingly, Verisign respectfully requests that the Court clarify the Vacatur

Orders to specify what, if anything, Verisign is obligated to do as a result of the

vacatur of the May 18 Order.

/s/ Timothy B. Hyland

Timothy B. Hyland

Virginia Bar No. 31163

Counsel for VeriSign, Inc.

HARRIS, ST. LAURENT & CHAUDHRY LLP

1818 Library Street, Suite 500

Reston, VA 20190

Tel.:

(703) 956-3566

(703) 935-0349

Email: thyland@sc-harris.com

-2-

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 10th day of August, 2016, I will electronically file the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing (NEF) to the following:

Rebecca J. Stempien Coyle, Esquire LEVY & GRANDINETTI 1120 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 304 Washington, DC 20036

Eric Y. Wu, Esquire DENTONS US LLP 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

/s/ Timothy B. Hyland

Timothy B. Hyland Virginia Bar No. 31163 Counsel for VeriSign, Inc. HARRIS, St. LAURENT & CHAUDHRY LLP 1818 Library Street, Suite 500 Reston, VA 20190

Tel.: (703) 956-3566 Fax: (703) 935-0349

Email: thyland@sc-harris.com